



Joint Youth Services in Woking Performance Update



Commission RAG ratings explained:

To summarise performance of the Centre Based Youth Work (CBYW) and Local Prevention Framework (LPF) commissions we have used a Red Amber Green (RAG) rating system to make it easier to get a sense of how a particular provider is performing. The rationale behind the RAG rating is as follows:

- Red** agreed performance not achieved and no plan in place to achieve agreed performance or mitigating factors
- Amber** agreed performance not achieved but either a robust plan in place to achieve the agreed performance, or mitigating factors as to why the performance is unlikely to be achieved
- Green** agreed performance achieved or within the tolerance zone (85% or more)

Centre Based Youth Work

Surrey County Council -

(£25,541 and 2.88 full-time equivalents)

Centred Based Youth Work offers open-access youth work to young people in many of the areas with the greatest need in Surrey. Management of seconded Surrey County Council staff sits with a range of local providers, who complement SCC funded delivery with matched provision in terms of funding, resources and staff and volunteer time.

Lakers Youth Centre (*The Youth Consortium - Eikon*)

Performance indicator	2014/15 performance					
	Annual agreed performance 2014/15	Actual performance: April - June	Expected Performance: April - June	Comparative 2013/14 performance	Direction of travel	RAG
1.1 Hours of co-produced youth work delivered from the Centre in 2014/15	800	303	267	221	↑	G
1.2a Young people engaged in one or more hours of youth work	220	155	73	131	↑	G
1.2b Average hours of engagement per young person	60	50.4	20.0	33.8	↑	G
1.3 Young people attending the youth club demonstrate positive 'distance travelled' by end of intervention.*	140	62	47	50	↑	G
1.5 Each Centre achieves the National Youth Agency quality kite mark within the first Contract Year, and retains this mark in each subsequent contract year	Yes	Yes	On track			G
2.2 Young people who have been identified as at risk of becoming NEET who have attended the centre	210	162	70	Comparison not available due to change in RONI process		G

*Distance travelled: clear and tangible development for a young person

Sheerwater Youth Centre (*The Youth Consortium - Eikon*)

Performance indicator	2014/15 performance					
	Annual agreed performance 2014/15	Actual performance: April - June	Expected Performance: April - June	Comparative 2013/14 performance	Direction of Travel	RAG
1.1 Hours of co-produced youth work delivered from the Centre in 2014/15	800	129	267	41	↑	A
1.2a Young people engaged in one or more hours of youth work	90	46	30	24	↑	G
1.2b Average hours of engagement per young person	40	19.1	13.3	14.2	↑	G
1.3 Young people attending the youth club demonstrate positive 'distance travelled' by end of intervention.*	110	0	37	4	↑	R
1.5 Each Centre achieves the National Youth Agency quality kite mark within the first Contract Year, and retains this mark in each subsequent contract year	Yes	Yes	Development needed			G
2.2 Young people who have been identified as at risk of becoming NEET who have attended the centre	224	46	75	Comparison not available due to change in RONI process		A

*Distance travelled: clear and tangible development for a young person

Woking Youth Centre (*Surrey County Council*)

Performance Indicator	2014/15 performance					
	Annual agreed performance 2014/15	Actual performance: April - June	Expected Performance: April - June	Comparative 2013/14 performance	Direction of Travel	RAG
1.1 Hours of co-produced youth work delivered from the Centre in 2014/15	800	335	267	78	↑	G
1.2a Young people engaged in one or more hours of youth work	111	81	37	43	↑	G
1.2b Average hours of engagement per young person	11.0	5.9	3.7	10.5	↓	G
1.3 Young people attending the youth club demonstrate positive 'distance travelled' by end of intervention.*	61	79	20	14	↑	G
1.5 Each Centre achieves the National Youth Agency quality kite mark within the first Contract Year, and retains this mark in each subsequent contract year	Yes	No	Development needed			A
2.2 Young people who have been identified as at risk of becoming NEET who have attended the centre	240	81	80	Comparison not available due to change in RONI process		G

*Distance travelled: clear and tangible development for a young person

Woking Borough Council -

Woking Borough Council commission a range of youth provision based locally in areas of need across Woking Borough. Provision listed in this Annex concerns the offer to young people at three youth clubs and is mainly open access/drop-in youth work.

Lakeview Youth Club (*Reflex Woking*)

Times	Age group	Average attendance	Focus of session
Monday:6-8pm	11-14 years	20 young people	Drop-In

The Barnsbury Project (*Reflex Woking*)

Times	Age group	Average attendance	Focus of session
Tuesday:6.30-8pm	11-19 years	25 young people	Drop-In
Wednesday:2-3.30pm	16 years +	6 young women	Young Parents
Thursday: 6-8pm	11-19 years	25 young people	Sport/Drop-In

The Cabin, Knaphill (*Voluntary group*)

Times	Age group	Average attendance	Focus of session
Mondays: 7-9pm	11-17 years	22 young people	Drop-in
Tuesday: 6:30-8pm	11-15 years	8 young people	Sports session
Thursday: 7-9pm	11-17 years	6 young people	Focused discussion based

Surrey County Council - Local Prevention Framework September 2013 – March 2014 (*Eikon*)

Performance Indicator	2013/14 performance				
	Agreed annual performance (September 2013 - August 2014)	Expected performance for period September 2013 to July 2014	Actual performance September 2013 to July 2014	Achievement against expected performance	RAG
Number of young people engaged in one or more hours of preventative activity	311	283	185	65.4%	A
Number of young people engaged in 11 or more hours of preventative activity	249	227	185	81.5%	A
Average hours of engagement* per young person			14.0		

*Engagement: a meaningful conversation or activity with a young person.